



Ryan Thompson <techpronow@gmail.com>

I read what you posted. It was a news article referencing the Morgan Report. Can you direct me to the actual report?

2 messages

Ho, Nelson <Nelson.Ho@hawaiicounty.gov>
To: "info@disruptarian.com" <info@disruptarian.com>
Cc: "Ruggles, Jennifer" <Jen.Ruggles@hawaiicounty.gov>

Thu, Oct 18, 2018 at 3:22 PM

Hi Ryan,

What little I had time to skim I felt was a news article polemic intended to vindicate American actions.

It does not have the imprimatur of a Special Commissioner or the President.

**REPORT OF U.S. SPECIAL COMMISSIONER JAMES H. BLOUNT TO U.S. SECRETARY OF STATE
WALTER Q. GRESHAM CONCERNING THE HAWAIIAN KINGDOM INVESTIGATION.**

HONOLULU, H. I., *July 17, 1893.*

Sir: On the 11th of March, 1893, I was appointed by the President of the United States as special commissioner to the Hawaiian Islands. At the same time the following instructions were given to me by you:

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, *Washington, March 11, 1893.*

SIR: The situation created in the Hawaiian Islands by the recent deposition of Queen Liliuokalani and the erection of a Provisional Government demands the fullest consideration of the President, and in order to obtain trustworthy information on the subject, as well as for the discharge of other duties herein specified, he has decided to dispatch you to the Hawaiian Islands as his special commissioner, in which capacity you will herewith receive a commission and also a letter whereby the President accredits you to the president of the executive and advisory councils of the Hawaiian Islands.

The comprehensive, delicate, and confidential character of your mission can now only be briefly outlined, the details of its execution being necessarily left, in great measure, to your good judgment and wise discretion.

You will investigate and fully report to the President all the facts you can learn respecting the condition of affairs in the Hawaiian Islands, the causes of the revolution by which the Queen's Government was overthrown, the sentiment of the people towards existing author...

... AND ETC. I AM SURE YOU READ IT. WHY IS BLOUNT OF LESSER VALUE THAN THE "MORGAN REPORT?"

NELSON HO

Legislative Assistant

District 5 Councilmember Jen Ruggles

Hawaii County Council

[25 Aupuni St. Suite 1402](#)

[Hilo, HI 96720](#)

Office Ph: 961-8536

Email: Nelson.Ho@hawaiicounty.gov

Ryan Thompson <techpronow@gmail.com>
 To: Nelson.Ho@hawaiiicounty.gov
 Cc: info@disruptarian.com, Jen.Ruggles@hawaiiicounty.gov

Thu, Oct 18, 2018 at 3:52 PM

Hi,

Wow, i didn't expect such a prompt response, thank you!

I wanted to give you a few references. I found and posted on my knowledge base some references from newspapers at the time regarding the report, and I referenced this website:

<http://morganreport.org>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morgan_Report

The significance of the Morgan report, is that it was an in-the-open investigation, which was able to be cross-examined, and had more on-the-ground witnesses with affidavits, and those witnesses were also witnesses in the Blount report, but in the Morgan investigation they testified that was reported in the Blount report, was misrepresented and that they did not say what the Blount report said that they said.

The conspiracy is, that uprising happened and it was an overthrow, but that the US government had nothing to do with the overthrow. That the 160 US Marines that landed in Honolulu were there as peacekeepers during the uprising, and that they were there only to protect US citizens from violence and potential rioting, but that they did not fire a shot, and that they did not detain anyone.

Reference: "The Morgan Report contradicted the Blount Report, finding all individuals involved in the overthrow – with the notable exception of Queen Lili'uokalani – "not guilty". The [Native Hawaiians Study Commission Report of 1993](#), commenting on the two competing reports, states: "The truth lies somewhere between the two reports.""

My point in referencing this, is that Dr. Sai COMPLETELY avoids speaking about the Morgan report, even though it is a point of major interest in this case, and the Morgan report exposes the bias of the Blount report. It shows that Grover Cleveland who was a friend of Queen Lili'uokalani, and that his intervention was a favor to her, rather than an enforcement of laws such as treason.

The Morgan report exonerated the US soldiers and Captain Stevens.

If Dr. Keanu Sai or Mr. Jennifer Ruggles wish to be intellectually honest in this scholarly review of what happened, they would at least need to recognize the Morgan Report as existing, even if they disagree with the findings. Neither of them do, and it is truly Dr. Sai who is most guilty here of intellectual dishonesty as he is claiming to be the expert and scholar in this knowledge, and it is he who is stirring up the most animosity in this situation. Though Jennifer Ruggles is couplable for not doing more research, or at very least not presenting all of the vital facts.

This is more of my own reporting on this subject.

<http://disruptarian.com/did-hawaii-get-legally-or-illegally-annexed-into-the-united-states-of-america/>

&

<http://disruptarian.com/jennifer-ruggles-townhall-meeting-keaaui-october-15th-2018/>

Thank you again for lending a listening ear. I do not want trouble. I want my kids to be able to live in peace in Hawaii. We have planted roots here, and we are here forever. I just do not want to be battling the racism that this sovereignty movement has stirred up, and I do think it is all unfounded and clouded in a lot of hidden history.

In fact the Morgan Report didn't even come to light until 2016 for the public to view from what I can find. That is why I searched archives of old newspapers from the era, to show why perhaps this illegal overthrow issue didn't come up sooner. Because the Morgan Report was common knowledge at one time.

Reference this newspaper article from the Los Angeles Herald February 27th 1894.

<http://cdnc.ucr.edu/cgi-bin/cdnc?a=d&d=LAH18940227.2.20>

I am continuing to add more to this archive to be able to display a more completely history, rather than the fragmented and bias point of view that Dr. Keanu Sai presents.

<http://disruptarian.com/knowledge-base/category/treaties/hawaii/>

Mahalo!

Sincerely,
 Ryan Thompson

P.S. This is what I handed to Jennifer Ruggles at the townhall meeting on October 15th 2018

The topic is a 125+ year old annexation of Hawaii by the USA. There are a lot of claims, a lot of documents and a lot of history referenced which is extremely difficult to find.

I created a knowledge base with a lot of these records, and I am adding more as I find them.

10/18/2018

Gmail - I read what you posted. It was a news article referencing the Morgan Report. Can you direct me to the actual report?

I tried to post this last week on the Event that [Jennifer Ruggles](#) set up, and also on her personal facebook page, but when I checked today, the posts that I made are not available. I am not accusing, just making an observation. My other posts are still available on Jen Ruggles FB page, just not the one about the latest information that I have found and made available.

To make it known to others who may be seeking, but not easily finding these records from history, I have created this archive

<http://disruptarian.com/knowledge-base/category/treaties/hawaii/>

You will find everything from the Blount Report, to the Morgan Report, to the

ABDICATION DOCUMENT SIGNED BY EX-QUEEN LILIUOKALANI, JANUARY 24, 1895 FORMALLY GIVING UP THE THRONE AND SWEARING HER ALLEGIANCE TO THE REPUBLIC OF HAWAII

There are a ton of documents there, photos of the original documents, and history that appears to be scarcely available on the internet.

Dr. Keanu Sai references many of these historical documents and events in his presentation, but surprisingly I hardly ever (in fact I have never) heard him speak about the Morgan Report, or many other topics that I have carefully collected and made available on my website.

I will also be hosting a radio show on this very topic on February 2nd 2019 at 4:20pm -10 GMT at

<https://disruptarian.com/radio>

Why is this important you ask? To make an educated decision, one must have ample and accurate information. I believe that forming an opinion or making a decision with only a fragmented view of the entire situation is a disservice. I intend to take calls and get answers on February 2nd's show.

There are so many documents that are important to this topic, and I have not been able to find very many of them that are easily available to the public. Even at the townhall meetings, typically what is presented is the Hague and Geneva conventions documents that discuss vaguely about "war crimes" and "treaties". But nothing specifically related to this topic, only indirectly.

I appreciate Jennifer Ruggles and Dr. Sai taking and answering questions on this subject. But during the meeting on September 24th 2018, I ask how these 1949 rules apply to 1893. I was surprised when Dr. Keanu Sai said that these rules apply because Hawaii was adopted into the British Kingdom.

Yet after doing more research, I did not find a single Parliamentary meeting in the United Kingdom, that ratified this adoption of Hawaii in the British Kingdom, and ratification would be necessary if Dr. Sai statement is true.

My hope is to get to the bottom of all of this. Mahalo! Blessings! ~ Ryan Thompson

[Quoted text hidden]